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Abstract: Platelet-rich plasma showed good results in tissue healing when first used in human medicine. After that, its use spread to veterinary medicine. However, there is no standardized method for manual collection of platelet-rich plasma in the canine species. The objectives of this study were to standardize a protocol to obtain platelet-rich plasma (PRP) with high concentration of platelets and transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1) without the presence of erythrocytes and leukocytes; and to relate the presence of TGF-β1 with the amount of platelets. For this purpose, there were obtained two blood samples separated one week between each other, from eleven healthy Beagles. Blood samples were centrifuged using different protocols: protocol A (one centrifuge, 210 g and 10 minutes), protocol B (double centrifuge, first one 210 g and 10 minutes, second one 210 g and 15 minutes) and protocol C (one centrifuge, 475 g and 8 minutes). Three plasma fractions were obtained through these protocols: a platelet-rich fraction, a platelet-poor fraction and whole blood. The content of leukocytes, erythrocytes and platelets was measured in the whole blood and plasma rich and poor fractions of the protocol A, B and C. The TGF-β1 concentration was measured in the platelet rich and poor fraction of A and B protocols. The results showed a higher concentration of platelets and TGF-β1 in protocol A. In conclusion, this study offers an economical and reproducible method for obtaining PRP in the canine specie.
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1. Introduction

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autogenous fluid concentrate composed primarily of platelets and growth factors. Platelets play roles in both haemostasis and wound healing, as well as contain granules that release growth factors to stimulate other cells of the body to migrate to the area of the trauma, thus facilitating tissue healing. These growth factors include platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor β1 and β2 (TGF – β1, TGF – β2), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) [1].

In the 1990s, several authors began to use PRP for oral and maxillofacial grafts in human medicine [2]. Since this discovery, the use of these types of preparations rich in growth factors has been extrapolated to different fields of regenerative medicine. The objective is to achieve faster, more effective and less aggressive recovery therapies, and to improve the quality of life of the patient [3]. At the same time that these techniques were being developed in human medicine; the veterinary sector, especially the discipline of orthopaedics and traumatology, has begun to incorporate platelet rich plasma (PRP) along with other therapeutic tools [4, 5]. In fact, some authors showed promising results of the PRP used in wound healing, osteoarthritis or improving functional outcome after
surgery repair for cranial cruciate ligament in dogs [6 – 9].

In human medicine, there is a wide range of commercial preparations rich in growth factors, as well as different kits to obtain these mixtures in an automatic or manual way [10]. On the other hand, in the veterinary field these kits are rare in the commercial form, expensive and have inconsistent cell content. The majority of the veterinary available kits have different cell types besides the platelets (red blood cells, white blood cells). Furthermore, protocols for obtaining this autologous plasma in the canine species have been extrapolated from human medicine, without taking into account the hematological differences between species, such as the lower mean corpuscular volume and mean corpuscular haemoglobin in dogs; which means that a relatively higher centrifugal force is required to separate canine blood components [1, 10-12].

For this reason, the main objective of this study was to standardize an effective and easily reproducible method to obtain PRP in the canine species in which platelet concentrations are approximately 2-3 times higher than the concentration in peripheral blood, without the presence of red and white blood cells. In addition, it was established as a secondary objective to relate the presence of TGF-β1 with the amount of platelets obtained, and for that, the protocol that more platelets obtained was compared with the protocol that obtained less platelets.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Animals and Husbandry

11 Beagle dogs (Canis familiaris) were enrolled in the study. The animals included were 6 intact males and 5 intact females with a median age of 29.18 months (15 months – 66 months) and a median weight of 14.17 kg (9 – 22.8 kg). The inclusion criteria stipulated that the animals needed to be in adequate health, which was determined by a general physical exam, hematology, biochemistry and serological testing to exclude Leishmania infantum, Leishmania donovani, Dirofilaria immitis, Borrelia Burgdorferi and Ehrlichia canis.

The animals were housed in individual cages in a climate – controlled room at 24 ± 2 °C, 60-70% relative humidity and 12 hours of light from 07:00 to 19:00 (photophase). There were fed with a commercial dog standard diet following the recommendations of the brand according to their weight (Royal Canin Medium Adult Dog, Royal Canin, Madrid, Spain), and had ad libitum water access.

The present study was approved by the local authorities according to the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes; and to the local animal welfare legislation on the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes.

2.2. Experimental Technique

Blood sampling was performed to the eleven dogs on two occasions, one week apart, because it was not feasible to obtain the large blood sample required to measure the platelet, red blood cell, leukocyte and TGF-β1 concentrations. The first sample was taken to obtain the quantity of platelets, white blood cells and red blood cells; and the second one to obtain the amount of TGF-β1.

The first blood samples were obtained from the jugular vein. There were extracted 16 millilitres, which were divided in several tubes with different anticoagulant agents. Three sodium citrate tubes (BD Vacutainer® 9NC, BD-Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom) of 4.5 ml each one were collected. One of the sample tubes was used to do a hematology, made by a hematology analyser (Celltac Alpha MEK-6318 J/K, NIHON KOHDEN, Tokyo, Japan), and the other ones were used to obtain the plasma by centrifuging. A separate heparin tube (LH/Li Heparin, AQUISEL®, Barcelona, Spain) of 2 ml was collected to do a biochemistry profile (METROLAB 2300, MetroLab, Buenos Aires, Argentina), and a serological testing made by different commercial kits: Canine Leishmania Antibody Test Kit (SNAP Leishmania, IDEXX LABORATORIES, Barcelona, Spain) and Canine Heartworm Antigen/Borrelia Burgdorferi/Ehrlichia canis Antibody Test Kit (SNAP 3DX, IDEXX Laboratories, Barcelona, Spain).

The second sampling was performed 7 days after the first one. Nine millilitres of blood were extracted from the jugular vein and divided in two sodium citrate tubes of 4.5 ml (BD Vacutainer® 9NC, BD-Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom). These samples were used to quantify TGF-β1 in the plasmatic fractions after application of different centrifug protocols.

2.2.1. Obtaining the Plasmatic Fraction

In every centrifug protocol and for all the tests performed, the plasmatic fraction was obtained in a standardised way. All the blood samples from the eleven dogs underwent the three different centrifuge protocols. The centrifuge was a swinging head centrifuge (CENTRONIC P Selecta, J. P Selecta, Barcelona, Spain). The centrifuge protocols are detailed in Table 1. Protocol A involved a single centrifuge at 210g relative centrifuge force for 10 minutes (proposed protocol). Protocol B involved two consecutive centrifuges. At the first centrifug 210g relative centrifuge force for 10 minutes was performed. After the plasma was separated, it underwent a second centrifuge using 210g relative centrifuge force for a further 15 minutes [13, 14]. Finally, protocol C involved a single 475g relative centrifuge force during 8 minutes [15].

Once the blood was centrifuged, the plasma remained on the top part of the tube and the “pellet” where the erythrocytes were located, was at the bottom of the tube. In between these two zones, above the fraction with the red blood cells, a whitish layer (buffy coat) can be seen; this layer was where all the leukocytes were located.

From the plasmatic portion, 200µl was extracted from the top part of the tube; this part is to be considered the platelet poor plasma (PP), the last 200µl, which are closer to the buffy coat is considered the platelet rich plasma (PR).

In the protocol where two centrifuges were performed, after
the first centrifuge, the plasmatic portion was taken in its entirety and placed separately in a sterile container that was centrifuged again. After this second centrifuge, the respective portions of PP and PR were extracted in the same way (the first 200µl was deemed the PP and the second 200µl obtained closer to the pellet was deemed the PR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st centrifuge</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd centrifuge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+g: relative centrifuge force, min.: minutes.

### 2.2.2. Platelet, Leukocyte and Erythrocyte Count Analysis

As the objective was to understand which centrifuge protocol yields the highest platelet concentration before the TGF-β1 quantification, several platelet and leukocyte counts at different time intervals and different relative centrifuge forces were performed by a hematology analyser (Celltac Alpha MEK-6318 J/K, NIHON KOHDEN, Tokyo, Japan).

In the first part of the study, the platelet, the leukocyte and the erythrocyte concentration were analysed in the central blood stream, the platelet poor plasma (PP) fraction and the platelet rich plasma (PR) fraction.

### 2.2.3. Transforming Growth Factor Beta - 1

To quantify levels of TGF-β1 in plasma the commercial kit (Quantikine® ELISA kit, RD Systems, Abingdon, United Kingdom) was used. This kit’s technique is based on an enzymatic reaction: ELISA (Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay).

The TGF-β1 was measured in the obtained PP and PR under the described centrifuge protocols (protocol A and protocol B). These fractions were obtained using the same methods aforementioned to quantify the platelets and the white blood cells. The samples needed activation that was carried out using a different variety of reagents and diluents that were included in the kit previously prepared.

The automatic microplaque lector used was the Personal lab junior (Adaltis Vitro S. A, Milano, Italy). This machine uses a computer program that calculates and creates the standard curve automatically (WordBench-V2.3).

### 2.3. Statistic Study

The formula used to calculate the required sample size was the one that is used to compare two different measures. This study considers a 99% security and a 95% statistic power; therefore, coefficients Zα and Zβ will be 2.57 and 1.64 respectively. For “d” a value of 50% was taken. To obtain the security and the statistic power described, the correct sample size was 9.2 dogs. However, as the study used individual animals, 10 dogs were described as (n).

Once the numeric values for each one of the samples in our study was described, the average was calculated (x), as was the standard deviation (SD) and the minimum and maximum value for each variable and centrifuge protocol. To obtain the level of statistic significance, a variance analysis was performed, one way ANOVA; using the platelet, white blood cells and TGF-β1 concentrations as a dependent variables. The centrifuge protocols and plasmatic fractions were described as independent variables. The statistical decisions were made using p<0.05. The informatics treatment and the statistical analysis of the obtained data were processed with Statistica for Windows® version 6.0 (StatSoft Inc.; USA).

### 3. Results

All the animals were healthy and were included in the present study (11/11). There were no adverse events in any animal after performing blood sampling.

Once the measurements and deviations from all variables were obtained, these results were compared within the three different protocols (Table 2).

In Figure 1, the comparison of the platelet variable is shown. The PR (platelet rich plasma) fraction was significantly higher in protocol A, followed by protocol C, with the lowest platelet concentration found in protocol B. Comparing the different plasmatic fractions within each protocol, in protocol A the PR concentration was significantly higher when compared to blood and PP; and between the latter no significant differences were noted. Protocol B showed no differences between PP and PR; and both of them were significantly lower than blood. Finally, in protocol C, PR and blood fractions had no differences, but were significantly higher than PP.

In Figure 2, the comparative study of the white cell variable is described. In the analysis of plasmatic fractions, the results were the same for the three different fractions: there were no significant differences between protocols. During the comparison between fractions within the same protocol, the blood turned out to be the highest and significantly different to the PP and PR, between which there was no difference and trended towards 0 leukocyte/µl. The same results were obtained regarding red blood cells.

Figure 3 shows the comparative study of the TGF – β1 variable in A and B protocols. There were no significant differences between the PP and PR fractions of both protocols. However, in protocol A, TGF – β1 in the PR fraction was significantly higher compared to PP fraction, while there were no significant differences between the PR and PP fractions of protocol B.
Figure 1. Comparative study of the platelet variable.

Figure 2. Comparative study of the white blood cells variable.

Figure 3. Comparative study of the TGF–β1 variable.
Table 2. Platelet, leukocyte and TGF-β1 concentrations in the different protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRT.</th>
<th>FRC.</th>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>11054.0</td>
<td>14426.6</td>
<td>3672</td>
<td>52835.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>40614.6</td>
<td>3025.4</td>
<td>7465.8</td>
<td>106310.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLOOD</td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>5807.3</td>
<td>2783.3</td>
<td>2323.8</td>
<td>9698.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>6243</td>
<td>3639.6</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>13192.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLOOD</td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLOOD</td>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+PLT and WBC are expressed in 10^3 cel/µl and TGF-β1 in pg/ml.

4. Discussion

4.1. Method and Study Design

The canine specie was chosen as a model due to easy handling and kennelling, and clinical benefit. This also offers a standardised method for further usage as a model in further experimental studies and can be extrapolated to direct clinical application.

There are two main methods to obtain PRP, via manual method [13, 14, 16 - 18] or via commercially available kits [15, 19 - 21]. In this study, manual methods were used, as the objective was to find a practical utility, which could be used easily in “in vivo” studies and general practice. Besides, PRPs obtained by commercially available systems can potentially differ in their characteristics. Two previous studies that compared different commercially available kits saw that results differed for a number of factors, including the degree of platelet concentration, leucocyte concentration or the presence of erythrocytes [22, 1]. Additionally, given the difficulty sourcing commercial kits, and their expense, the manual method of platelet extraction is a valid alternative in this species; as long as aseptic and sterile conditions are maintained.

Regarding the centrifuge protocol, literature describes the method of one versus several centrifuges (usually two) [13, 14, 16, 18, 19]. Most of the literature shows that a double centrifuge method achieves higher platelet concentrations. However, this method can cause alterations in platelet morphology and is more sensitive to small processing errors [23]. Other studies showed that a single centrifuge can produce comparable amounts of platelets for their therapeutic applications [24]. In the present study, it was considered that by performing a single centrifuge, preparation time is reduced and contamination risk is minimised.

The two previously described chosen protocols for this study, were selected as the relative centrifuge force units were described in “g”, the results obtained were referenced at least for the platelet concentrations and the manual method was employed [13 - 15].

There were three protocols proposed in this study. Regarding human medicine literature, the best results for platelet recovery were obtained with a relative centrifuge force of 200-300g and time ranges from five to twelve minutes, with a simple or double centrifuge. That is why the authors chose protocol A (single centrifuge, 210g for 10 minutes) and protocol B (double centrifuge, 210g, for 10 and 15 minutes). However, other published protocols obtained a high platelet concentration using higher relative centrifuge forces. Therefore, the authors proposed protocol C (single centrifuge, 475g for 8 minutes) [1, 12, 25 - 28].

The qualitative and quantitative evaluation for the growth factor TGF-β1 in this study was chosen because it is one of the more thoroughly studied growth factors [29]. It is a cytokine involved in tissue reparation and regeneration processes, and tends to be the most frequently chosen cytokine for quantification in plasma rich in growth factor studies [21].

4.2. Results

On the basis of the platelet results obtained in each protocol,
protocol A satisfies the proposed objective of obtaining statistically higher platelet concentrations in platelet-rich plasma (PRP), obtaining an average value in the PRP of $1013 \times 10^3$ platelets/µl. This significantly high value achieves a 2.36 platelet ratio, which corresponds to the published literature that has obtained positive results in its therapeutic application. Moreover, it is a value superior to $1000 \times 10^3$ platelets/µl, which is the minimum value referred by some authors to obtain beneficial results over the tissue repair and regeneration process [2, 30 - 32]. Other authors discussed that a platelet amount superior to 200 - 300 $\times 10^3$ platelets/µl has a therapeutic effect [12, 24].

The results obtained with protocol B were unexpected, as other authors showed a higher platelet concentration with a double centrifuge method. This might be explained by the fact that the platelet rich fraction remained trapped in the sediment that appeared after the second centrifuge; while the authors referenced could have re-suspended the platelet rich fraction of the sediment, obtaining a higher platelet rich plasma fraction [13, 14].

Finally, protocol C showed higher platelet values than protocol B but lower than protocol A. The authors think that the higher relative centrifuge force could have driven a high number of platelets to the buffy coat fraction, with most of the white blood cells.

Of the three described protocols, white blood and red blood cell concentrations were very similar on their PP and PR fractions, without significant differences, and statistically lower than that of peripheral blood. Furthermore, the absolute value of these plasmatic fractions was around 0 leukocytes/µl achieving (in the three protocols) one of the goals proposed for the PRP fraction in this study [3]. It is thought that high leukocyte concentrations in PRP can impede tissue regeneration and healing by increasing the amount of pro-inflammatory cytokines and thereby raising the acute inflammatory response, although it remains a matter of disagreement in the literature [1, 22]. There were obtained the same results regarding erythrocyte concentration, with absolute values trending towards 0 erythrocytes/µl. Erythrocytes can cause cell death and increase the presence of inflammatory mediators, so only PRPs with a negligible amount of erythrocytes should be used for therapeutic applications [1, 22].

Concerning the platelet ratio, protocol A can be compared to some of the tested commercial systems. Protocol A obtained a platelet ratio of 2.36, whereas some commercial systems only obtained 0.85 or 1.84. Other commercial systems tested showed a higher platelet ratio (3.21, 5.15 and 3.98), but also a higher leukocyte ratio (0.17, 3.27 and 1.40 respectively). The haematocrit was also measured in those systems, and the systems with the highest platelet ratio showed a haematocrit greater than zero [22].

In relation to the TGF-β1 concentration, protocol A and protocol B were compared to assess the correlation between the amount of platelets and the presence of this growth factor. Ideally, the study would have considered the three protocols, but unfortunately the authors were unable due to economical reasons.

Regarding the comparison between protocols, significantly higher values in cytokine concentration in the PRP for protocol A was observed when compared to protocol B. Following various author references who highlighted the presence of a polypeptide substance inside the α granules from the platelets, which is released after the cellular activation process [33], the results are not surprising when differences in platelet concentrations between groups are observed. Moreover, some authors confirmed a strong correlation between the TGF-β1 and platelet concentrations, or the amount of TGF-β1 and the number of activated platelets [12, 34].

Results also showed that there were no significant differences when comparing TGF-β1 concentrations in the PP between protocols (as it was seen in the platelet concentration). This supports the hypothesis of other studies that describe the importance of the presence of this growth factor in its free form in plasma in addition to its intracellular form, as well as the influence in size and platelet age in the concentration of α granules [35].

It needs to be considered that there were no differences between PR and PP inside each protocol. This is due to the high standard deviation (SD) in the TGF-β1 values in respect to the mean (X); that confirms the existing high variability of the growth factor concentration between individuals of the same species [13, 16, 21].

This study had an economical limitation that impeded the authors to compare the amount of TGF – β1 between the three proposed protocols or to evaluate other growth factors. However, the objective of this study was just to evaluate the concentration of platelets and its relation with the amount of TGF – β1, what was achieved by comparing protocol A (which had the highest number of platelets) with protocol B (which had the lowest number of platelets).

It also can be a limitation the fact that TGF-β1 was also present in protocol B and no statistical differences were found between protocols, what could be explained by the presence of TGF-β1 in a free plasmatic form. For this reason, it would be probably better to measure another growth factor present only inside α granules of the platelets instead the TGF-β1.

It must be taken into account that it has not been established yet a standard concentration of platelets on the use of PRP in dogs. It remains unknown the response of different canine cell types (chondrocytes, myocytes, chondrocytes, etc.) to various platelet or growth factor concentrations, and it has to be remembered that not the highest platelet concentration is necessarily the best [22].

5. Conclusion

From the obtained results and under the study conditions previously explained, we can conclude that the proposed protocol in this study (protocol A: 210g for 10 minutes) should be the chosen protocol for clinical use in the canine species. We conclude that with a simple, economical and reproducible methodology; plasmatic fractions (especially
the PR fraction) with a high concentration of platelets and TGF-β1 can be obtained, without the presence of white or red blood cells.
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